IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

THEORDORIA LEGGETT	<u>:</u>
433 E. Rittenhouse St.	:
Philadelphia, PA 19144	: CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiff,	: : No
v.	:
	: JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
HCSG EAST, LLC	:
3220 Tillman Dr., Suite 300	:
Bensalem, PA 19020	:
and	
HEALTHCARE SERVICES GROUP, IN	C.:
d/b/a QUALITY SOLUTIONS, INC./	:
HCSG EAST, LLC.	:
3220 Tillman Dr., Suite 300	:
Bensalem, PA 19020	:
and	:
IVY HILL NURSING & REHAB, INC.	:
1401 Ivy Hill Road	:
Philadelphia, PA 19150	:
	:
Defendants.	:
	:

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, by and through her undersigned counsel, hereby avers as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This action has been initiated by Theordoria Leggett (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff," unless indicated otherwise) against HCSG East, LLC, Healthcare Services Group, Inc. and Ivy Hill Nursing & Rehab, Inc. (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Defendants" unless indicated otherwise) for violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA" -42 USC §§ 12101 et. seq.), the Family and Medical Leave Act ("FMLA" - 29 U.S.C. §§ 2601 et

seq.), and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA"). As a direct consequence of Defendants' unlawful actions, Plaintiff seeks damages as set forth herein.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1331, has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims because this civil action arises under laws of the United States.
- 3. This Court may properly maintain personal jurisdiction over Defendants because Defendants' contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendants to comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice, satisfying the standard set forth by the United States Supreme Court in <u>International Shoe Co. v. Washington</u>, 326 U.S. 310 (1945) and its progeny.
- 4. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (b)(2), venue is properly laid in this district because all of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district, and in addition, Defendants are deemed to reside where they is subject to personal jurisdiction, rendering Defendants residents of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.
- 5. Plaintiff is proceeding herein under ADA and has properly exhausted her administrative remedies with respect to such claims by timely filing a Charge of Discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") and by filing the instant lawsuit within ninety (90) days of receiving a notice of dismissal and/or right to sue letter from the EEOC.

2

¹ Plaintiff will move to amend his instant lawsuit to include a claim under the PHRA once her administrative remedies are fully exhausted with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission. Any claims under the PHRA though would mirror the instant ADA claims identically.

PARTIES

- 6. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
 - 7. Plaintiff is an adult individual, with an address as set forth in the caption.
- 8. Defendant HCSG East, LLC ("Defendant HCSG East") is a subsidiary of Defendant Healthcare Services Group, Inc. ("Defendant HSG"). Both entities operate as companies that provide housekeeping/laundry and dining/nutrition services to the healthcare facilities, including but not limited to the facility at which Plaintiff physically worked, Ivy Hill Nursing and Rehab, Inc. Defendant HCSG East and Defendant HSG are *hereinafter* collectively referred to as "Defendant HCSG."
- 9. Defendant Ivy Hill Nursing and Rehab, Inc. ("Defendant Ivy") is a healthcare facility located at the above captioned address.
- 10. Plaintiff was employed through Ivy Hill Nursing and Rehab Inc.; however, Defendant HCSG acquired a large majority of Defendant Ivy's employees before the acts of discrimination described herein. When Defendant HCSG acquired Plaintiff as an employee, it kept her employed at Defendant Ivy and she was thereafter paid by Defendant HCSG as a direct employee of Defendant HCSG.
- 11. However, in every other respect Plaintiff was treated as an employee of all Defendants, taking directions from all Defendants and following all of their policies. As a result, Defendants are properly a joint, single and/or integrated employer.
- 12. At all times relevant herein, Defendants acted by and through their agents, servants and employees, each of whom acted at all times relevant herein in the course and scope of their employment with and for Defendants.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 13. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
 - 14. Plaintiff was hired by Defendant Ivy on or about October 20, 2011.
- 15. A few years into Plaintiff's employment with Defendant Ivy, Defendant HCSG acquired a large majority of Defendant Ivy's employees.
- 16. When Defendant HCSG acquired Plaintiff as an employee, it kept her employed at Defendant Ivy and she was thereafter paid by Defendant HCSG as a direct employee of Defendant HCSG.
- 17. Even though Plaintiff was paid by Defendant HCSG and was considered a direct employee of Defendant HCSG, Plaintiff was, in every other respect, treated as an employee of all Defendants, taking directions from all Defendants and following all of their policies. As a result, Defendants jointly employed Plaintiff.
- 18. In or about late January of 2017, Plaintiff began to suffer from qualifying health conditions under the ADA, including but not limited to Depression.
- 19. Plaintiff's aforesaid health conditions, at times, limited her ability to perform some daily life activities, including but not limited to sleeping, working, and engaging in social interaction.
- 20. Despite Plaintiff's aforesaid health conditions and limitations, she was still able to perform the duties of her job well with Defendants; however, she did require reasonable accommodations for her medical conditions, including but not limited to time off from work to care for and treat for her disabilities.

- 21. In or about late January of 2017, Plaintiff requested and took an FMLA-qualifying leave of absence for her aforesaid mental health conditions.
- 22. Prior to taking FMLA-qualifying leave in January of 2017, Plaintiff was permanently assigned to only one floor (2 East).
- 23. Plaintiff attempted to return from her medical leave of absence (discussed *supra*) in or about late February of 2017; however, Defendants' management, including but not limited to Eugene (last name unknown Supervisor), refused to allow her to return to work despite providing medical documentation clearing her to return without restrictions.
- 24. For the next approximate 4-5 weeks, Defendants refused to (1) schedule Plaintiff for any shifts/hours; (2) assign her to her prior permanent floor; or (3) give her any indication when she would begin receiving hours. Instead, Defendants indicated that Plaintiff may be contacted as a "floater" in the near future.
- 25. Plaintiff thereafter returned to work as a floater but continued to work on a reduced schedule for an extended period of time (thereby reducing her hours and pay) and has yet to be assigned to a permanent floor.
- 26. Therefore, it is believed and averred that Defendants removed Plaintiff from the workplace without pay for several weeks, reduced her hours, and have failed to properly schedule her to her pre-medical leave role because of her actual/perceived/record of disabilities and/or in retaliation for requesting/taking an FMLA-qualifying leave of absence (a reasonable accommodation under the ADA).

² A floater position is not assigned to a specific floor and is not guaranteed a set amount of hours (as Plaintiff's position prior to taking medical leave).

First Cause of Action Violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act, as amended ("ADA") (Actual/Perceived/Record of Disability Discrimination & Retaliation) -Against All Defendants-

- 27. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 28. Plaintiff suffered from qualifying health conditions under the ADA (as amended), which (at times) affected her ability to perform some daily life activities (as discussed *supra*).
- 29. Plaintiff requested reasonable accommodations from Defendants' management, in the form of time off from work.
- 30. It is believed and therefore averred that Defendants removed Plaintiff from the workplace without pay for several weeks, reduced her hours, and have failed to properly schedule her to her pre-medical leave role because of: (1) her known and/or perceived disabilities; (2) her record of impairment; and/or (3) because she requested accommodations, which constitutes unlawful retaliation.
- 31. It is also believed and therefore averred that Defendants failed to accommodate Plaintiff by refusing to hold her pre-medical leave role open while she was out on medical leave.
 - 32. These actions as aforesaid constitute violations of the ADA, as amended.

Second Cause of Action Violations of the Family and Medical Leave Act ("FMLA") (Retaliation & Interference) -Against All Defendants-

- 33. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 34. Plaintiff was an eligible employee under the definitional terms of the FMLA, 29 U.S.C. § 2611(a)(i)(ii).

- 35. Plaintiff requested leave from Defendants, her employers, with whom she had been employed for at least twelve months pursuant to the requirements of 29 U.S.C.A § 2611(2)(i).
- 36. Plaintiff had at least 1,250 hours of service with Defendants during her last full year of employment.
- 37. Defendants are engaged in an industry affecting commerce and employs fifty (50) or more employees for each working day during each of the twenty (20) or more calendar work weeks in the current or proceeding calendar year, pursuant to 29 U.S.C.A § 2611(4)(A)(i).
- 38. Plaintiff was entitled to receive leave pursuant to 29 U.S.C.A § 2612 (a)(1) for a total of twelve (12) work weeks of leave on a block or intermittent basis.
- 39. Defendants committed interference and retaliation violations of the FMLA by removing Plaintiff from work without pay, reducing her hours and, and failing to properly schedule her to her pre-medical leave role because she requested and/or took FMLA-qualifying leave and/or in order to prevent Plaintiff from taking FMLA-qualifying leave in the future.
- 40. These actions as aforesaid constitute violations of the FMLA.

 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court enter an Order providing that:
- A. Defendants are to compensate Plaintiff, reimburse Plaintiff, and make Plaintiff whole for any and all pay and benefits Plaintiff would have received had it not been for Defendants' illegal actions, including but not limited to back pay, front pay, salary, pay increases, bonuses, insurance, benefits, training, promotions, reinstatement, and seniority.
- B. Plaintiff is to be awarded punitive and/or liquidated damages, as permitted by applicable law, in an amount believed by the Court or trier of fact to be appropriate to punish

Defendants for their willful, deliberate, malicious and outrageous conduct and to deter

Defendants or other employers from engaging in such misconduct in the future;

C. Plaintiff is to be accorded other equitable and legal relief as the Court deems just, proper, and appropriate (including but not limited to damages for emotional distress / pain and

suffering);

D. Plaintiff is to be awarded the costs and expenses of this action and reasonable

attorney's fees as provided by applicable federal and state law.

Respectfully submitted,

KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, P.C.

By:

Ari R. Karpf, Esq. 3331 Street Road

Two Greenwood Square, Suite 128

Bensalem, PA 19020

(215) 639-0801

Dated: November 27, 2017

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION

Theordoria L	eggett ;	•	CIVILACTION		•
v.		:			
HCSG East, LI	C, et al.	;	NO.		
plaintiff shall complete a C filing the complaint and ser side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant	Case Managemer we a copy on all event that a de t shall, with its it arties, a Case M	nt Track Desi defendants. (efendant does first appearan Ianagement T	y Reduction Plan of this court, counsing gnation Form in all civil cases at the time of the set of the plan set forth on the renot agree with the plaintiff regardings, submit to the clerk of court and set rack Designation Form specifying the gned.	ime ever g sa	of se iid
SELECT ONE OF THE	FOLLOWING	CASE MAN	AGEMENT TRACKS:		
(a) Habeas Corpus - Cases	s brought under	28 U.S.C. §	2241 through § 2255.	()
(b) Social Security - Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. ()
(c) Arbitration - Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. ()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involexposure to asbestos.	ving claims for	personal inju	ry or property damage from	()
commonly referred to a	is complex and	that need spe	ncks (a) through (d) that are cial or intense management by cd explanation of special	()
(f) Standard Management	- Cases that do	not fall into	any one of the other tracks.	(X	()
		}			
11/27/2017			Plaintiff		
Date	Attorne	y-nt-law	Attorney for		~~
(215) 639-0801	(215) 639-	4970	akarpf@karpf-law.com		
Telephone	FAX N	ımber	E-Mail Address		

(Clv. 660) 10/02

A CONTROL OF THE CONT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	•
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to assignment to appropriate calendar,	be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of
Address of Plaintiff: 433 E. Rittenhouse Street, Philadelphia, PA 1914	14
Address of Defendant: 3220 Tillman Drive, Suite 300, Bensalem, PA	19020; 1401 Ivy Hill Road, Philadelphia, PA 19150
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Defendants place of business	
(Use Reverse Side For	
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a	
Does this case involve multidistrict lidgation possibilities?	Yest No.
RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	•
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one	
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior	Yes O NoO
action in this court?	
, and the second	Yes No No
 Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier terminated action in this court? 	YesD NoD
,	•
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rigi	
•	Yes□ No□
CIVIL: (Place V in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Invisdiction Cases:
1. D Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. D Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. D FELA	2. D Airplane Personal Injury
3. D Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. D Assault, Defamation
4. D Antitrust	4. D Marine Personal Injury
5. D Patent	5. D Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. O Labor-Management Relations	6. O Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. 瓦 Civil Rights	7. D Products Liability
8. I Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
9. D Securities Act(s) Cases	9. All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. © All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)	
ARBITRATION CERT	Category)
1. Ari R. Karpf , counsel of record do hereby cert N Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(e)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and	
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	
DATE: 11/27/2017	ARK2484
Attomoy-at-Law NOTE: A trial do novo will be a trial by jury only if the	Attorney I.D.# 91538
	·
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not refined to any case now pending or except as noted above.	r within one year previously terminated action in this court
DATE: 11/27/2017	ARK2484
Attornoy-at-Law	Atterney 1.D.# 91538
CIV. 609 (5/2012)	

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

Print

Save As...

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the number of initiating the civil docket sheet. OFF INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.

	OCKET SIDEL. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS HCSG EAST, LLC, ET AL.	
LEGGETT, THEODO	RIA .	HCSG EAST, LEC, ET AL.	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Philadelphia (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Bucks (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.	
	dddress, and Telephone Number) P.C.; 3331 Street Road, Two Greenwoo 19020; (215) 639-0801; akarpf@karp.		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place on "X" in One Box for Plaintif	
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	X 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF Citizen of This State 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place 4 4 of Business In This State	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 5 5 of Business In Another State	
		Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6 Foreign Country	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.	
	DEDSONAL INJUDY BEDSONAL INJU		
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 3 10 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations X 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education PERSONAL INJU 365 Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPE 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending Property Damag Property Damag Property Damag Property Damag Product Liability PERSONAL PROPE 1 370 Other Fraud 1 371 Truth in Lending Property Damag Property Dam	of Property 21 USC 881 1 690 Other A	
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" to	n One Bux Only)		
	moved from 0 3 Remanded from the Court Appellate Court	0 4 Reinstated or Reopened Another District Reopened Specify Transferred from D 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Litigation - Transfer Direct File	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	 IAmericans w/Disabilities Act "AD 	are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): OA"(42USC12101); Family & Medical Leave Act "FMLA" (29USC2601) d the PHRA.	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	9 CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTIO UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.	ON DEMANDS CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: X Yes 'No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE	DOCKETNUMBER	
DATE 11/27/2017	SIGNATURE OF A	AT ORNEY OF RECORD	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		<u> </u>	
RECEIPT #A	MOUNT APPLYING IFF	P JUDGE MAG. JUDGE	

Reset